

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,256	11/12/2003	Gui-Jia Su	920976.00009 6857	
26710	7590 07/14/2005		EXAMINER	
QUARLES & BRADY LLP 411 E. WISCONSIN AVENUE			DUDA, RINA I	
SUITE 2040				PAPER NUMBER
MILWAUKE				

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/706,256	SU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rina I. Duda	2837				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period who is reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
, <u> </u>	action is non-final.					
•	,—					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>-19</u> is/are pending in the application	4)X Claim(s) 1–19 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1−19</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected₁to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on 11/12/3/3 is/are: a) acce	☑ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ 12 1/2 1/3 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list (or the certified copies not receive	a .				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atom Application (1 10-102)				

Application/Control Number: 10/706,256 Page 2

Art Unit: 2837

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5-7, 13-15, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Toda et al (US patent 5712540)

Claims 1, 13, 14, and 15, Toda et al describes a motor control device comprising a plurality of inverters 4/13 and a common control section including means 25.

Claims 2 and 17, Toda et al describes a single microcontroller 25.

Claim 4, Toda et al describes PWM technique to control the switches of the inverter (see abstract).

Claim 5, Toda et al shows in figure1 a single DC bus for supplying DC voltage to the motor.

Claims 6 and 7, Toda et al describes a single voltage sensor 22 and a series of current sensing means as seen in figure 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 3, 9-11, 16, 18, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toda et al (US patent 5712540) and Kuniyoshi (US patent 4392099)

Claims 3 and 16, the difference between claim 3 and the teachings of Toda et al is that claim 3 recites a single driver for the switches. However, Kuniyoshi teaches a motor controller using a plurality of inverters being controlled by a single driver 19.

Claim 9 and 18, Although Toda et al and Kuniyoshi describe three phase inverters, it would be obvious that the number of legs in the inverters would depend on the number of phases of the motor so if the motor controller designer decides to use a three/two/one phase motor the number of legs of the inverter would also change.

Therefore, this limitation is considered to be a designer choice.

Claims 10-11, Toda et al describes the use of synchronous, induction/AC motors as well as establishing that other types of motors could also be used.

Therefore, it would have obvious to one person of ordinary skill in the art to choose a single driver instead of multiple drivers, since said single driver would provide synchronization of operation of multiple inverters.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rina I. Duda whose telephone number is 571-272-2062.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Martin can be reached on 571-272-2107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,256 Page 4

Art Unit: 2837

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RD